

Dermatological Test Report Semi-Open Test

| Test Reference | 21/01872/001 | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| Customer | Wipertec Ltd | | |
| Contact | Andy Abraham (andy@wipertecltd.co.uk) | | |
| Address | Unit 33/Peel Ind Est/Chamberhall St, Bury BL9 OLU | | |

| Product Name | Mylux Skin Cleansing | Test Date | 20/04/2021 |
|--------------|----------------------|-------------|------------|
| Batch Code | N/A | Report Date | 26/04/2021 |



Scope of Tests Compliant With:

- Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council (EC) No. 1223/2009 of 30
 November 2009 on cosmetic products.
- Cosmetics Europe The Personal Care Association (previously COLIPA) Guidelines Product Test Guidelines for the Assessment of Human Skin Compatibility 1997.
- Cosmetics Europe The Personal Care Association (previously COLIPA) Guidelines for the Evaluation of the Efficacy of Cosmetic Products 2008.



Content of the Report:

- 1. The basis of the study
- 2. Object of study
- **3.** Qualitative composition of the product
- **4.** Purpose of the study
- **5.** Description of volunteers
- **6.** Testing methodology
- **7.** Date of the study
- **8.** Evaluation parameters
- **9.** Results
 - 1. Characteristics of volunteers
 - 2. Table of skin response
- **10.** Calculated values
- **11.** Interpretation
- 12. Conclusion & Signature



1. The Basis of the Study

- Test sample delivered by the Client.
- The qualitative composition of the product delivered by the Client.
- The result of microbiology delivered by the Client.

The Client is responsible for compliance with the declared qualitative composition and microbiological purity of the product sample sent for testing.

2. Object of Study:

| Parameter | Description | | |
|------------|---|--|--|
| Appearance | Impregnated Wipe | | |
| Colour | White | | |
| Fragrance | Characteristic for raw materials (or fragrance composition | | |
| Packaging | Replacement packaging containing the name and sample number for testing | | |

3. Qualitative Composition of the Product

The qualitative composition was delivered to the Laboratory by the Client before the start of the study.

4. Purpose of the Study

The aim of the study was to assess the irritating properties (skin tolerance) of the cosmetic product on a healthy adult skin, with applied patch test.

5. Description of Volunteers

The volunteers (25 people) were healthy, with negative allergy history. The selection of the group included the criteria of inclusion and exclusion. General inclusion criteria: healthy men and women over 18 years old, phototype: I-IV on Fitzpatrick scale, Caucasians, skin without irritations and changes requiring pharmacological treatment. General exclusion criteria: volunteers who use any treatment on the skin area subject to the study, volunteers exhibiting or having a known history of acute or chronic dermatological, medical and/or physical conditions that could influence the outcome of the study, pregnant or breastfeeding women or women planning a pregnancy during the study. None of the volunteers reported documented oversensitivity or history of adverse reactions to individual ingredients of the product tested. All the volunteers fulfilled the requirements of inclusion for tests and signed the Informed Consent Form (ICF). Additionally, they were informed on the purpose, methodology of the study and possible adverse effects. The skin at the application spot (arms or interscapular area) was healthy, without lesions. The volunteers were advised to exercise caution in handling the applied contact tests.



6. Testing Methodology

The preparation in the 10% water dilution is applied onto filter paper discs of 12 mm diameter, manufactured by SmartPractice® and then fixed to the arm or interscapular area with the use of a sticking patch. Simultaneously, to objectify the results of the study and in order to exclude possible reading errors connected with dermal irritations two control samples (control sample called "blind" and control sample with water) are used. The purpose of this study is to exclude possible reading errors connected with dermal irritations. The results of the study are presented in section 10 of this report. The dermatologist removes the patch 48h after the application and examines the skin response 30 minutes after removal. 72h after the application, the dermatologist examines the skin again for a response. If irritations appear or persist 72h after the application, an additional examination takes place after 96 hours. Determining the response of the skin, the dermatologist assesses the irritating and sensitising effects of the tested product. The study results may be influenced by factors such as lifestyle, stress, diet and environmental conditions, etc.

7. Date of Performance of the Study

Moderate Edema (about 1mm raised skin)

Strong Edema (extended swelling even beyond the application area)

20.04.2021 - 23.04.2021

8. Evaluation Parameters

Evaluation Parameters of Skin Reaction

| Evaluation Farameters of Skill Reaction | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Erythema | Classification point | | | |
| No Erythema | 0 | | | |
| Light Erythema | 0.5 | | | |
| Erythema and/or papules | 1 | | | |
| Erythema and/or papules and/or vesicles | 2 | | | |
| Erythema and/or papules and/or vesicles and/or blisters | 3 | | | |
| Erythema Bullous and/or ulcerative reaction and/or papules and/or vesicles and/or blisters | 4 | | | |
| Edema | Classification point | | | |
| No Edema | 0 | | | |
| Very light Edema (hardy visible) | 1 | | | |
| Light Edema | 2 | | | |
| | | | | |

3

4



9. Results

In 25 volunteers the results of the patch tests were negative, i.e. no irritation or allergy related with the preparation were observed. Results are presented in table no. 2.

Table 1

| No. of subject | Identifica tion of subject | Begining of the study Age | | Sex | Phototype | |
|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------|---------------|--|
| 1 | WAL.MA | 20.04.2021 | 0.04.2021 54 F | | II | |
| 2 | PIO.AN | 20.04.2021 | 49 | F | II | |
| 3 | TKA.MA | 20.04.2021 | 64 | F | II | |
| 4 | KAC.AN | 20.04.2021 | 45 | F | II | |
| 5 | ZOR.AL | 20.04.2021 | 65 | F | II | |
| 6 | PRZ.IW | 20.04.2021 | 40 | F | II | |
| 7 | SIW.JA | 20.04.2021 | 66 | F | II | |
| 8 | SUT.GR | 20.04.2021 | 68 | F | II | |
| 9 | PUK.GR | 20.04.2021 | 65 | F | II | |
| 10 | PIS.KA | 20.04.2021 | 57 | F | II | |
| 11 | CIE.TE | 20.04.2021 | 68 | F | II | |
| 12 | CIE.MA | 20.04.2021 | 60 | F | II | |
| 13 | KRZ.MO | 20.04.2021 | 26 | F | II | |
| 14 | ALE.DA | 20.04.2021 | 69 | F | II | |
| 15 | ANT.ZO | 20.04.2021 | 66 | F | II | |
| 16 | SIK.NA | 20.04.2021 | | | II | |
| 17 | KAR.DA | 20.04.2021 | 44 | M | II | |
| 18 | MLY.MI | 20.04.2021 | 20.04.2021 63 F | | II | |
| 19 | PLO.BO | 20.04.2021 | 20.04.2021 57 F | | II | |
| 20 | RYD.WI | 20.04.2021 | 61 | F | II | |
| 21 | BER.MA | 20.04.2021 | | | II | |
| 22 | JER.DA | 20.04.2021 | 54 | F | II | |
| 23 | MIC.BA | 20.04.2021 | 53 | F | II | |
| 24 | KIS.KA | 20.04.2021 | 46 | F | II | |
| 25 | IWA.AN | 20.04.2021 | 38 | F | II | |
| | • | Min | 26 | No. F | Phototype I | |
| | | Max | 69 | 24 | 0 | |
| | | Average | 55 | No. M | Phototype II | |
| | | | | 1 | 25 | |
| | | | | | Phototype III | |
| | | | | | 0 | |
| | | | | | Phototype IV | |
| | | | | | 0 | |



9.2 Table of skin response

Table 2

| No. | Evaluation after 48 hours of | | Evaluation after 72 | Evaluation after 96 | |
|----------|------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | product app | olication | hours of product application | hours of product application | |
| Erythema | Edema | Erythema | Edema | Erythema Edema | |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Examination skipped | |

10. Calculated Values

The following calculated values present the sum of negative reaction (erythema and edema) defined as Average Irritation Index (X_{av}).

Table 3

| | Evaluation after 48 hours of product application | | | fter 72 hours application | Evaluation after 96 hours of product application | |
|--|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--|------------|
| | Erythema | Edema | Erythema | Edema | Erythema | Edema |
| The sum of negative reaction (the sume of classification points) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Examinati | on skipped |
| Xav | 0.00 | | | | | |



11. Interpretation

The average irritation index (X_{av}) of the 25 tests was calculated. The product was then classified according to the following table:

Table 4

| Average Irritation Index (xav) | Class | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| X _{av} < 0.50 | Not Irritating | |
| $0.50 \le X_{av} < 2.00$ | Slightly Irritating | |
| $2.00 \le X_{av} < 5.00$ | Moderately Irritating | |
| 5.00 ≤ X _{av} | Highly Irritating | |

12. Conclusion

The performed patch test on a group of 25 volunteers, allow to conclude, that the product **21/01872/001 Mylux Skin Cleansing** used by people for whom allergy to any of its ingredients hasn't been documented, is well tolerated by the skin. In the study group there were no irritations or allergic reactions. The product meets the requirements of compatibility test with the skin (Skin Compatibility Test) and can be classified as **Not Irritating**.

Report Authorised by:

Ben Elmadi

Business Developing Microbiologist